

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**WILLIAM J. GRADFORD,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**DEPUTY BAEZ,**

**Defendant.**

Case No. 1:20-cv-00858-DAD-BAK (BAM) (PC)

**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO  
RESPOND TO MOTION FOR ORDER  
ENFORCING SETTLEMENT AND  
DISMISSING CASE**

(ECF No. 32)

**MARCH 11, 2022, DEADLINE**

On February 3, 2022, Defendant Deputy Baez filed a motion for an order enforcing settlement and dismissing case. (ECF No. 32.) Despite Defendant's notice of motion and the motion, Plaintiff has failed to file a response.

Local Rule 230(*l*) sets forth the procedure for opposing a motion in prisoner actions:

Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be served and filed by the responding party not more than twenty-one (21) days after the date of service of the motion. A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect, specifically designating the motion in question. Failure of the responding party to file an opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion . . . .

L.R. 230(*l*). More than twenty-one days have passed since the service of Defendant's motion.

///

1 On or before **March 18, 2022**, Plaintiff **SHALL** file an opposition or a notice of non-  
2 opposition in response to Defendant's motion to dismiss. **If Plaintiff fails to comply with this**  
3 **order, the Court will issue findings and recommendations determining the motion without**  
4 **further notice to Plaintiff.**

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: **March 1, 2022**

/s/ *Barbara A. McAuliffe*  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE